

Docket No.: 243224US2SRD DIV

COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS ALEXANDRIA, VIRGINIA 22313

RE: Application Serial No.: 10/681,237

Applicants: Toshiyuki UMEDA, et al.

Filing Date: October 9, 2003 For: AMPLIFIER CIRCUIT

Group Art Unit: 2816 Examiner: Wells, K.B.

SIR:

Attached hereto for filing are the following papers:

RESPONSE TO ELECTION REQUIREMENT

Our check in the amount of \$0.00 is attached covering any required fees. In the event any variance exists between the amount enclosed and the Patent Office charges for filing the above-noted documents, including any fees required under 37 C.F.R 1.136 for any necessary Extension of Time to make the filing of the attached documents timely, please charge or credit the difference to our Deposit Account No. 15-0030. Further, if these papers are not considered timely filed, then a petition is hereby made under 37 C.F.R. 1.136 for the necessary extension of time. A duplicate copy of this sheet is enclosed.

Respectfully submitted,

OBLON, SPIVAK, McCLELLAND, MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C.

Eckhard H. Kuesters

Registration No. 28,870

Customer Number

22850

(703) 413-3000 (phone) (703) 413-2220 (fax) Surinder Sachar

Registration No. 34,423

I:\ATTY\SNS\24'S\243224\ELECT RESP DUE 092304 CVR.DOC

OBLON
SPIVAK
MCCLELLAND
MAIER
A
NEUSTADT

ATTORNEYS AT LAW

P.C.

ECKHARD H. KUESTERS (703) 413-3000 EKUESTERS@OBLON.COM

SURINDER SACHAR (703) 413-3000 SSACHAR@OBLON.COM SP 23 ZMA 3014U

DOCKET NO: 243224US2SRD DIV

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT & TRADEMARK OFFICE

IN RE APPLICATION OF:

TOSHIYUKI UMEDA, ET AL.

EXAMINER: WELLS, K.B.

SERIAL NO.: 10/681,237

FILED: OCTOBER 9, 2003

GROUP ART UNIT: 2816

FOR: AMPLIFIER CIRCUIT

RESPONSE TO ELECTION REQUIREMENT

COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS ALEXANDRIA, VIRGINIA 22313

SIR:

In response to the Election requirement of August 23, 2004, applicants elect, with traverse, Species I (Figure 16). Each of Claims 1-3 are readable on the elected species.

Applicants traverse the outstanding election requirement on the grounds that it has not been established that it be an undue burden to examine each of the noted species and claims together.

Under M.P.E.P. § 803, a election is not proper if a search and examination can be made without a serious burden on the Examiner, and the outstanding election requirement has not established that examining each of the currently-pending claims together would result in an undue burden.

M.P.E.P. § 803 specifically states:

If the search and examination of an entire application can be made without serious burden, the examiner must examine it on the merits, even though it includes claims to independent or distinct inventions. Application No. 10/681,237 Reply to Election Requirement dated August 23, 2004

The outstanding election requirement has not established that each of the claims could be examined without an undue burden, and thus each of the noted species and claims should be examined on their merits.

Respectfully submitted,

OBLON, SPIVAK, McCLELLAND, MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C.

Eckhard H. Kuesters Registration No. 28,870

Surinder Sachar

Registration No. 34,423 Attorneys of Record

22850

Tel.: (703) 413-3000 Fax: (703) 413-2220

EHK/SNS/jrs

I:\ATTY\SNS\24's\243224\243224us-ELECT RESP DUE 092304.DOC